(3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

Writ Petition No. 2566 2002

Petitioner -- P. Janki Ramchandra Rao
Aged about 30 years,
S/o Late Shri P.Paidayya
'Suryakanta Nivas' Gandhinagar,
Kosanagar, Bhilai,
Tah. & Distt.Durg (C.G.).

P.R. No. 2531/02
Presented by Shri ... M/s ... Advocate
dated ... 12.11.02 ...

Versus

Respondents (1) Chairman
Madhya Pradesh Electricity Board,
Shakti Bhawan,
Rampur
JABALPUR (M.P.).

(2) Chairman
Chhattisgarh State Electricity Board,
Dangania, RAIPUR.

(3) Executive Engineer
Workshop Division,
Chhattisgarh State Electricity Board,
Bhilai-3, Durg.
(Through Respondent No. 2).

PETITIONER UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR THE ISSUANCE OF A WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS OR CERTIORARY OR PROHIBATION AND OR WRIT OF ANY OTHER NATURE AND/OR ORDER OR DIRECTION.

The petitioner named above, most humbly and respectfully submits as below :-

(1) Particulars of petitioner :-

As mentioned in the cause title.

contd.....2

XI-HC-22

(27)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..... WP 2588 सन् 2002 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	26-3-2004 Shri R. M. Pandey, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Bhaskar Pyasi, Panel Lawyer for respondent No.3. Heard both the counsel. The petitioner has preferred this writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India whereby the petitioner has prayed for directing the respondents to consider and appoint the petitioner on compassionate ground. The petitioner's case is that his father was working as Attendant Grade-II in the workshop of respondent No.3 and his father while serving under respondent No.3 had expired on 15-10-98 due to illness. The petitioner had submitted an application for appointment on compassionate ground before respondent No.1. But, respondent No.1 has not taken any action on the application of the petitioner and kept the application pending for a long time. On 1-4-2002, the petitioner again submitted an application for appointment on compassionate ground before respondent No.3, but respondent No.3 did not give any positive reply. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that respondent No.2 has issued appointment orders in respect of 31 persons on compassionate ground. Counsel further submitted that the petitioner may be allowed to make a representation to respondents 1 & 2 and respondents 1 & 2 may be directed to decide the representation of the petitioner to which the learned counsel for respondent No.3 has no objection.	

XI-HC-22

(28)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित — 2 —	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation raising all his grievances to respondents 1&2 within a period of 10 days from today and in turn, respondents 1&2 will decide the representation of the petitioner within a period of 30 days from the date of receipt of the representation considering all the grievances of the petitioner and will pass a reasoned order. In view of this order, I.A. No.9600/2003 stands disposed of. With the aforesaid directions, this writ petition is disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order. Sd/- L.C.BHADOO Judge	